

Message

From: Garbarini, Doug [Garbarini.Doug@epa.gov]
Sent: 3/17/2015 12:20:53 PM
To: Stein, Carol [Stein.Carol@epa.gov]; Mannino, Pietro [Mannino.Pietro@epa.gov]; Harrington, Jim (DEC) [jim.harrington@dec.ny.gov]; Evans, Daniel (DEC) [daniel.evans@dec.ny.gov]
CC: Alvey, Robert [Alvey.Robert@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Meeting Agenda - March 18 2015.docx

Looks fine to me Carol

I assume you will drop the parenthetical “(Including asking the Navy why it indicated in its letter that it can’t submit a plan for remediation until after investigation is complete?)” from the version of the agenda that Grumman and Navy see. Note that DEC has sent a subsequent letter to the Navy....so we will be most interested in how the Navy will respond to that letter (and we may see a response at, or just prior to the meeting).

Also, I think it is fine if the Navy wants to discuss work that they are doing on-property (if they want to include that in the powerpoint presentation they are giving at the start of the meeting that is ok with me.

From: Stein, Carol
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2015 9:51 AM
To: Mannino, Pietro; Garbarini, Doug; Harrington, Jim (DEC); Evans, Daniel (DEC)
Cc: Alvey, Robert
Subject: Meeting Agenda - March 18 2015.docx

To Doug, Pete, Jim, and Dan - Attached is a draft agenda for Wednesday’s meeting. If there are items which you think should be added, please let me know, and I will work to include them in the final agenda that I will send to the Navy and Northrop Grumman. FYI, the Navy had asked to do a power-point presentation, which I have included within the second bullet.

Doug – fyi, I included the two items you requested regarding (1) going over the letter from the water districts; and (2) asking the Navy and NG to participate at quarterly meetings.

Carol A. Stein, P.E.
Environmental Engineer
Mega Projects Section, SPB
USEPA
290 Broadway
New York, NY 10007-1866
212-637-4181